

| Report of  | Meeting           | Date           |
|--|-------------------|----------------|
| Chief Executive<br>(Introduced by the Executive<br>Member for Resources) | Executive Cabinet | 27 August 2015 |

# CHORLEY COUNCIL PERFORMANCE MONITORING – FIRST QUARTER 2015/2016

#### **PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. This monitoring report sets out the performance against the delivery of the Corporate Strategy, and key performance indicators during the first quarter of 2015/16, 1 April to 30 June 2015.

# **RECOMMENDATION(S)**

2. That the report be noted.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF REPORT**

- 3. This report sets out performance against the Corporate Strategy and key performance indicators for the first quarter of 2015/16, 1 April to 30 June 2015. Performance is assessed based on the delivery of key projects and against the measures in the 2014/15 2016/17 Corporate Strategy along with key service delivery measures.
- 4. Overall performance of 2014/15 key projects is good, with 83% of the projects on track or complete. Three projects have been rated amber; deliver improvements to Market Street, progress key employment sites, and develop and agree plans for delivery of the Friday Street Health Centre. These rating are due to issues relating to timescales however work is now underway to bring these projects back on track.
- 5. Overall performance on the Corporate Strategy indicators and key service delivery measures is good. 89% of the Corporate Strategy indicators and 60% of the key service measures are performing above target or within the 5% tolerance.
- 6. The Corporate Strategy measure performing below target is; the number of projected jobs created through inward investment. An action plan has been developed and is included within the report to outline what action will be taken to improve performance.
- 7. The key service delivery measures performing below target are: vacant town centre floor space; the processing of planning applications against targets for both 'minor' and 'other' application types; and the average working days per employee per year lost through sickness absence. Again, action plans are included within the report which outline what actions are being taken to improve performance.

| Confidential report Please bold as appropriate | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Key Decision? Please bold as appropriate       | Yes | No |

# **REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATION(S)**

### (If the recommendations are accepted)

8. To facilitate the on-going analysis and management of the Council's performance in delivering the Corporate Strategy.

## **ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED**

9. None.

#### **CORPORATE PRIORITIES**

10. This report relates to the following Strategic Objectives:

| Involving residents in improving their local area and equality of access for all | <b>✓</b> | A strong local economy  | <b>√</b> |
|--|----------|---|----------|
| Clean, safe and healthy communities  | <b>✓</b> | An ambitious council that does more to meet the needs of residents and the local area | <b>√</b> |

#### **BACKGROUND**

- 11. The Corporate Strategy is the key strategic document for the authority and includes performance indicators and key projects which focus on delivering the Council's four priorities.
- 12. The Corporate Strategy includes 18 key projects, aimed at building upon and strengthening successful activity in 2013/14.
- 13. Performance measures have been set so that targets remain challenging and reflective of the Council's ambitions.

# PERFORMANCE OF KEY PROJECTS - 2014/15 CORPORATE STRATEGY

- 14. Following the refresh of the Corporate Strategy in November, 18 key projects have been identified for delivery in 2014/15 2016/17. At the end of the first quarter overall performance of key projects is good. 15 of the 18 projects (83%) are either complete or on track.
- 15. At the end of the first quarter, 13 projects (72%) were rated green, meaning that they are progressing according to timescale and plan:
  - Implement a working together with families employment scheme
  - Deliver the Chorley Public Service Reform Board work plan
  - Progress plans to extend market walk
  - Investigate future business models for public services in Chorley
  - Increase visitor numbers to Chorley
  - Digital access and inclusion
  - Explore alternative ways of providing home ownership
  - Improve the functionality of online services
  - Development and delivery of community action plans
  - Delivery of an improved CCTV provision

- Chorley Flower Show
- Deliver improvements to Rangletts recreation ground
- Continue to explore options to deliver the Chorley Youth Zone
- 16. Two projects (11%) have been completed during the last quarter, and the key outcomes are detailed below:

## **Destination play area Astley Park**

Planning permission for the destination play area in Astley Park was granted in September 2014, and work began on site in November 2014. The play area opened on Friday 29 May and has been built at the side of the Pavilion and the current smaller play area. It has a theme of Royalists and Roundheads, with links to Astley Hall, and has sections for younger and older children.

The concept is based on a castle ruin with natural stone to form 'rooms', which are linked with footpaths. The play equipment is spaced throughout and includes slides, climbing stacks, zip wires, swings, a hip-hop see-saw, balance trails, a wall tower and much more.

The project was completed within timescales and budget. There has been a lot of positive feedback received, and early indications are that the play area is being used as a destination, attracting visitors from across the Central Lancashire area.

There have been some early issues with anti-social behaviour; however these are being dealt with through a multi-agency approach.

#### Establish a business case and model for an Extra Care Scheme

The project was to develop a business case for an extra care scheme, including exploring the feasibility and cost-benefits of such a scheme for Chorley. This included looking at the options for the Fleet Street site and assessing the viability of the scheme being owned and managed by the Council. The project involved commissioning an architect to draw up 4 options for the scheme design and associated costs, in addition to site surveys and assessments. A financial model was developed by the Head of Shared Finance to produce a forecast for the expected capital and revenue income and expenditure for the scheme. This work was to inform a decision as to whether or not the Council should pursue the scheme and submit a bid to the HCA for funding.

The original objectives of the project have been achieved in far shorter timescales due to the unexpected announcement by the HCA of Care and Specialised Support Grant Funding. The deadline for bids required that the project work be fast tracked and delivered much quicker than originally planned.

A HCA bid for £2,868,750 to contribute to the capital costs of the construction of the scheme has been submitted, as well as a commitment of revenue funding and (unconfirmed) capital funding from Lancashire County Council. Strategic support has been achieved from a range of stakeholders, as well as commitment from the other two parties with ownership on the site to enter into a sale to dispose their interest.

If the HCA funding bid is successful this will become a new capital /Corporate Strategy Project for 2016/17.

17. Three projects (17%) are currently rated as 'amber', which is early warning that there may be a problem with the project:

|                    | Project Title   |   |  |
|--------------------|---|---|--|
| Deliver impro      | ovements to Market Street   | Amber   |  |
| Explanation        | The scope of this project is the design and creation of plans for the public realm at the northern end of Market Street and the plans; essentially continuing the scheme that has now been in Southern end of Market Street. However due to a number of str the town centre currently being developed, consideration is not delivering improvements across a wider area to ensure best resources; as such the original timescale for this project has slightly. | delivery of these applemented at the ategic sites within ow being given to use of available |  |
| Action<br>Required | A meeting has been held with Plan-It to scope the area for design covering works over a wider area is due back by the end of Junew to commence will be dependent on the costs and the plant decision on Market Walk. Consideration will also be given to phantover a number of years.   | uly. A decision on anning application   |  |

|                               | Project Title  | Project Status   |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Progress key employment sites |  | Amber  |
|                               | A number of employment sites have been identified as su investment and the delivery of this project will see the council tapproach to working with developers, land owners and the LEF employment sites to be brought forward for development. The this project included undertaking site investigations and assess master plans, establishing stakeholder groups and preapplications. | aking a proactive to enable these original scope of          |
| Explanation                   | This project is rated amber due to some slippage against the project milestones and timetable drawn up in the original project mandate. Also, the scope of this project now needs to be reviewed, as not all of the allocated sites for employment are addressed in the original project mandate. There is also a need to prioritise activity to ensure a manageable programme.        |  |
|                               | During quarter one the Council has progressed the commission for the Botany Bay/Great Knowley Masterplan and progress has on some of the other sites. This includes commencing construenced at the Revolution, as well as the successful planning apstore, retail units and a community centre at Southern Commercial  | s also been made<br>uction on the last<br>proval for an Aldi |
|                               | The scope of the project and further division into work package in the next quarter.   | s will be resolved   |
| Action<br>Required            | During quarter two the focus will be to progress a potential land of Homes and Communities Agency, expected to be complete subject to member approval and negotiation on scope. This unlocking progress on some of the employment sites. Work progress the Botany Bay/Great Knowley masterplan.  | ed by Dec 2015, will be central to                           |

|                    | Project Status  |  |  |
|--------------------|---|--|--|
| Develop and        | Develop and agree plans for delivery of the Friday Street Health Centre   |  |  |
|                    | At the Project Board meeting held in June it was agreed that to continue to progress following key decisions made, however clarity on the finances available for this project.  |  |  |
| Explanation        | Ownership, funding, tenancies and building provision have bee clarified. Actions need to be taken to progress the development have been some delays in activity since the meeting which a capacity and availability of key partners.  | further, and there   |  |
|                    | Funding details are being progressed as due to the need to structure, it is not clear how much, and when funding can be a should be resolved in the next quarter as actions have been members to progress this quickly.   | drawn down. This   |  |
| Action<br>Required | The original Project Initiation Document (PID) for Chorley East I based on lease arrangements, however following success of a Care Infrastructure Fund (PCIF), the funding approach has chare accessed needs to be clarified and this is being progress Care NHS Foundation Trust. Once confirmed the PID will submitted to the NHS England Capital Oversight Group to confirming arrangements and ownership of the building. This group grecommendation for the project to go ahead. | bid to the Primary<br>anged. How funds<br>ed by Lancashire<br>be revised and<br>sider costs, lease |  |
|                    | The next Project Team meeting is scheduled to take place in Authe Project Board meeting in September.   | ugust, followed by   |  |

# PERFORMANCE OF CORPORATE STRATEGY MEASURES

- 18. At the end of the first quarter, it is possible to report on 9 of the key performance indicators within the Corporate Strategy. 6 indicators (67%) were performing on or better than target. The full outturn information for the performance indicators is included at Appendix A.
- 19. The following indicators are performing better than target:
  - Number of projected jobs created through targeted interventions
  - The % of 16-18 year olds who are not in education, employment or training (NEET)
  - The number of visits to Council's leisure centres
  - Number of young people taking part in 'Get Up and Go' activities
  - Number of Homelessness Preventions and Reliefs
  - The percentage of customers dissatisfied with the service they have received from the council
- 20. Two indicators (22%) are performing slightly below target, but is within the 5% tolerance threshold:
  - Overall employment rate
  - Number of long term empty properties in the borough
- 21. One indicator (11%) performed below target; the number of projected jobs created through inward investment.

22. The table below gives the reasons why performance is currently below target, and the steps that are being taken to improve performance. A brief analysis of trend has also been provided to give some context to the performance value:

|                           | Performance Indicator  | Target | Performance |
|---------------------------|--|--------|-------------|
| Number o                  | f projected jobs created through inward investment   | 12     | 3           |
| Reason<br>below<br>target | below with inward investors it can take a significant amount of time to reach the application                                    |        |             |
| Action required           |  |        |             |
| Trend:                    | At quarter one 2014/15 performance was 0, and by the end of 2014/15 (quarter four) was 62 which indicates scope for improvement. |        |             |

- 23. It should be highlighted that the indicator; percentage of customers dissatisfied with the service they have received from the Council, is now performing above target.
- 24. The project to improve customer satisfaction, which formed part of last year's Corporate Strategy, provided comprehensive analysis of satisfaction data and subsequently delivered a number of actions. This included a programme of targeted internal communications and a new approach to the way satisfaction was monitored to include a wider representation of those customers contacting the Council using various channels.
- 25. Activity to address reasons for dissatisfaction, in particular keeping customers informed of progress, has resulted in improved performance from 27.4% in quarter one 2013/14 to 24.5% in quarter one 2014/15. Current performance at the end of quarter one 2015/16 is an outstanding 18.5%.

#### PERFORMANCE OF KEY SERVICE DELIVERY MEASURES

- 26. There are some important indicators that are not included within the Corporate Strategy, but are measured locally as indicators of service performance. There are ten indicators that can be reported at the end of the third quarter. The full outturn information for this is included at Appendix B: Key Service Delivery Measures.
- 27. Six (60%) of the Key Service delivery measures are performing better than target:
  - Processing of planning applications as measured against targets for 'major' application types
  - Time taken to process Housing Benefit/Council Tax Benefit new claims and change events
  - Number of households living in Temporary Accommodation (NI 156)
  - Number of missed collections per 100,000 collections of household waste
  - Supplier Payment within 30 days
  - Percentage of Council Tax collected
- 28. There are currently four indicators (40%) that are performing below target. These indicators relate to; vacant town centre floor space, the processing of planning applications against targets for both 'minor' and 'other' application types, and the average working days per employee per year lost through sickness absence.

29. The table below gives the reasons for this worse than anticipated performance, and the steps that are being taken to improve performance:

|                           | Performance Indicator  | Target             | Performance     |  |
|---------------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| Vacant to                 | Vacant town centre floor space 6% 6.31%  |                    |                 |  |
| Reason<br>below<br>target | There are currently a number of large retail units that a and these larger units represent a higher percentage of space.   |                    |                 |  |
| Action                    | A number of these units are due to re-open soon we national chains and smaller independents, a number of the night time economy.   | of which will also | help to support |  |
| required                  | Work will also continue to deliver the Town Centre Masterplan; including the Market Walk extension, Fleet Street Extra Care and a programme of public realm improvements to help create a more appealing and attractive retail environment for new businesses. |                    |                 |  |
| Trend:                    | Performance at the end of quarter one 2014/15 was 4.   | 54%                |                 |  |

|   | Performance Indicator  | Target | Performance |  |
|---|--|--------|-------------|--|
| Processing of planning applications as measured against targets for 'minor' application types |  | 65.0%  | 59.61%      |  |
|   | g of planning applications as measured against<br>r 'other' application types  | 80.0%  | 70.37%      |  |
| Reason<br>below<br>target   | <b>below</b> Government advice, delegated authority was removed from Officers and all applications were therefore referred to Committee before the section 106 process |        |             |  |
| Action required   |  |        |             |  |
| Trend:  | Performance at the end of quarter one 2014/15 was 63.33% for 'minor' and 89.42% for 'other'.   |        |             |  |

|                           | Performance Indicator  | Target      | Performance |
|---------------------------|--|-------------|-------------|
| _                         | Average working days per employee per year lost through sickness absence   |             | 2.2 days    |
| Reason<br>below<br>target | There has been a high level of sickness absence during the first quarter of 2015/16. This equates to a total of 66 occasions of short term absence and 10 long term, (75 individual cases). The highest number of occasions of short term sickness absence were in June (35), and further analysis shows that the majority of days lost were due to stomach illness and personal stress. |             |             |
| Action required           | This is being closely monitored with employees supported using proactive welfares, counselling and occupational health where appropriate. HR are also ensuring that all managers are undertaking as necessary absence meetings and return to work interviews.  |             |             |
| Trend:                    | Performance at the end of quarter one 2014/15 was  | s 1.41 days |             |

# **IMPLICATIONS OF REPORT**

30. This report has implications in the following areas and the relevant Directors' comments are included:

| Finance                                  |   | Customer Services                      |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| Human Resources                          |   | Equality and Diversity                 |  |
| Legal                                    |   | Integrated Impact Assessment required? |  |
| No significant implications in this area | ✓ | Policy and Communications              |  |

# GARY HALL CHIEF EXECUTIVE

There are no background papers to this report.

| Report Author    | Ext  | Date          | Doc ID                                   |
|------------------|------|---------------|--|
| Louise Wingfield | 5061 | 6 August 2015 | First Quarter Performance Report 2015/16 |

# **Appendix A: Performance of Corporate Strategy Key Measures**

Performance is better than target

Worse than target but within threshold

Worse than target, outside threshold

| Indicator Name   | Polarity             | Target  | Performance<br>Quarter 1 | Symbol   | Trend*   | % Change<br>(year on year) |  |
|--|----------------------|---------|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------------------------|--|
| Overall employment rate  | Bigger is better     | 80%     | 78.1%                    |          | <b>1</b> | -0.9%                      |  |
| Number of projected jobs created through targeted interventions                  | Bigger is better     | 25      | 35                       | *        | <b>↑</b> | +40%                       |  |
| Number of projected jobs created through inward investment                       | Bigger is<br>better  | 12      | 3                        | <b>A</b> | <b>^</b> | N/A                        |  |
| The % of 16-18 year olds who are not in education, employment or training (NEET) | Smaller is better    | 4.8%    | 3.8%                     | *        | <b>1</b> | -17.4%                     |  |
| The number of visits to Council's leisure centres                                | Bigger is better     | 250,000 | 282,902                  | *        | <b>^</b> | +3.6%                      |  |
| Number of young people taking part in 'Get Up and Go' activities                 | Bigger is better     | 3,750   | 10,830                   | *        | <b>^</b> | +74.7%                     |  |
| Number of Homelessness<br>Preventions and Reliefs                                | Bigger is better     | 50      | 192                      | *        | •        | -4.9%                      |  |
| Number of long term empty properties in the borough                              | Smaller is better    | 195     | 202                      |          | <b>^</b> | -2.9%                      |  |
| % of customers dissatisfied with the service they received from the council      | Smaller is<br>Better | 20%     | 18.5%                    | *        | <b>↑</b> | -24.5%                     |  |

<sup>\*</sup>Trend shown is for change from quarter 1 2014/15

# Appendix C: Performance of key service delivery measures

Performance is better than target

Worse than target but within threshold

Worse than target, outside threshold

| Indicator Name   | Polarity            | Target   | Performance<br>Quarter 4 | Symbol   | Trend    | % Change (year on year) |
|--|---------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|----------|-------------------------|
| Time taken to process all new claims and change events for Housing Benefit and Council Tax Benefit | Smaller is better   | 10 days  | 8.32 days                | *        | <b>^</b> | -31.18%                 |
| Processing of planning applications as measured against targets for 'major' application types      | Bigger is better    | 70%      | 100%                     | *        | <b>^</b> | +39.99%                 |
| Processing of planning applications as measured against targets for 'minor' application types      | Bigger is<br>better | 65%      | 59.61%                   |          | <b>+</b> | -5.88%                  |
| Processing of planning applications as measured against targets for 'other' application types      | Bigger is better    | 80%      | 70.37%                   |          | <b>→</b> | -21.31%                 |
| Number of households living in Temporary Accommodation (NI 156)                                    | Smaller is better   | 25       | 7                        | *        | <b>^</b> | -36.36%                 |
| Number of missed collections per 100,000 collections of household waste                            | Smaller is better   | 49       | 46                       | *        | •        | +15%                    |
| Supplier Payment within 30 days  | Bigger is better    | 99%      | 99.95%                   | *        | <b>↑</b> | +1.03%                  |
| Average working days per employee (FTE) per year lost through sickness absence                     | Smaller is better   | 1.5 days | 2.19 days                | <b>A</b> | •        | +55.32%                 |
| Vacant Town Centre Floor Space   | Smaller is better   | 6%       | 6.31%                    |          | •        | +38.99%                 |
| % Council Tax collected  | Bigger is better    | 28.76%   | 28.87%                   | *        | <b>↑</b> | +0.38%                  |

<sup>\*</sup>Trend shown is for change from quarter 1 2014/15